On the effect of flat energy directors thickness on heat generation during ultrasonic welding of thermoplastic composites

Genevieve Palardy* and Irene Fernandez Villegas

Structural Integrity and Composites Group, Faculty of Aerospace Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Kluyverweg 1, 2629 HS Delft, The Netherlands

g.palardy@tudelft.nl* and I.FernandezVillegas@tudelft.nl

On the effect of flat energy directors thickness on heat generation during ultrasonic welding of thermoplastic composites

This paper presents a detailed experimental assessment of the effect of the thickness of flat energy directors on heat generation at the interface during ultrasonic welding. Power and displacement data showed clear differences caused by the change of thickness, related to heat concentration at the weld line during the process. The extent of the heat-affected zone was assessed by welding specimens without consolidation at different stages of the process. It was confirmed through optical microscopy that heat is generated at the interface and transferred to the bulk adherends earlier in the process for thinner energy directors. The analysis of their fracture surface under optimum welding conditions revealed signs of matrix degradation, leading to less consistent quality, likely due to faster heat generation rate in both the ED and the substrates, and incidentally, higher temperatures surrounding the energy director.

Keywords: thermoplastic composites; plastic ultrasonic welding; mechanical properties; optical microscopy; energy directors

1 Introduction

Owing to its very short cycle times, ultrasonic welding (USW) of thermoplastic composites (TPCs) has great potential for industrialisation, especially for massproduced parts in the aerospace and automotive sectors [1]. However, up-scaling of the process toward practical applications where large overlaps need to be welded is likely to present several challenges. To promote heat generation between the substrates, energy directors (ED), made of the same polymer as the composite's matrix, are placed at the interface [2-5]. Preliminary investigation into up-scaling has shown a possible issue with limited flow of the ED at the bond line [6]. Thinner EDs could solve this problem because of the reduced volume of polymer that needs to be melted and squeezed out at the interface. Therefore, it is essential to establish if the USW process fundamentally changes with the thickness of the energy director, in order to appropriately up-scale and control the welding method.

Typically, EDs are shaped like triangular, semi-circular or rectangular protrusions [7-13]. However, a simpler shape, so-called "flat energy directors", made of a neat polymer layer, has been shown to lead to excellent weld quality for both thermoplastics [14, 15] and thermoplastic composites [1, 16-18], with ED thicknesses varying between 0.25 mm and 0.70 mm. Figure 1 shows a schematic representation of the process using such an ED between two adherends. Preferential heat generation at the weld line is based on higher cyclic strains which results from the lower compressive modulus of the neat thermoplastic resin as compared to the TPC specimens.

For thermoplastic composites, several studies investigated the shape of energy directors and its effect on weld quality [12, 19, 20], temperature field at the interface [11, 21], weld penetration [11], flow of the ED [13] and heat-affected zone (HAZ) [12]. It is clear from the literature that the geometry of the energy director plays a major role in the events occurring at the bond line. Thus, it can be expected that the use of flat EDs thinner than 0.25 mm could lead to important differences in the USW process.

Consequently, in order to progress toward up-scaling of USW of TPCs, the aim of this work is to perform a detailed experimental assessment of the effect of the thickness of flat energy directors on heat generation at the interface during the process. Firstly, the output data from the welder, power and sonotrode displacement, were compared to determine how they are affected by different ED thicknesses. Secondly, in order to assess the effectiveness of the energy directors in preferential heat generation, the extent of the heat-affected zone in the TPC substrates was evaluated by welding specimens without consolidation at different stages of the USW process and observing the cross-sections for deconsolidation. These observations were correlated to the mechanical performance and the fracture surfaces obtained under optimum welding conditions. Finally, heat generation at the interface and optimum vibration time for all ED thicknesses were discussed from the viewpoint of process applicability.

2 Experimental procedures

2.1 Materials

In this study, Cetex® CF/PEI (carbon fibre/polyetherimide) 5-harness satin fabric reinforcement, manufactured by Ten Cate Advanced Composites (The Netherlands), was used. Laminates with a [0/90]_{3s} stacking sequence were consolidated in a hot-platen press at 320°C and 20 bar for 30 minutes. Specimens measuring 101.6 mm x 25.4 mm were cut using waterjet. After cutting, the samples were dried in an oven at 135°C for 6 hours. Three thicknesses of flat PEI energy directors were compared: 0.50 mm, 0.25 mm and 0.06 mm. The last two thicknesses (0.25 mm and 0.06 mm) were available as films directly from the manufacturer (SABIC). To create 0.50 mm-thick EDs, two 0.25 mm films were stacked and fixed with adhesive tape on the bottom adherend before the welding process.

2.2 Welding procedure

Individual samples were welded with a Rinco 3000 microprocessor-controlled ultrasonic welder in single lap configuration using a custom-made welding setup, as seen on Figure 2. The cylindrical sonotrode had a diameter of 40 mm. For all welds, the parameters were 500 N force and 86.2 μ m vibration amplitude (peak-to-peak). The solidification force and time were kept constant at 1000 N and 4000 ms, respectively. After each weld, the USW machine provided the following output curves with respect to time: dissipated power and vertical displacement of the sonotrode.

The ultrasonic welding machine allows for the process to be controlled based on vibration time, dissipated energy or vertical displacement of the sonotrode. For the case of single lap shear samples with flat energy directors, it is preferable to use "displacement-controlled" welding to consistently obtain high-strength welds [1]. It has been shown that the optimum weld quality is achieved when stopping the process at the displacement value corresponding to the second peak in the power curve. This optimum stage of the process occurs when the upper layers of the composite substrates start melting [16]. This optimum displacement value was identified for all ED thicknesses by first gathering power and sonotrode displacement data after welding with a displacement equal to the ED thickness. To investigate the extent of the heat-affected zone in the substrates when using 0.06 mm-thick EDs, the process was stopped at different stages and the welding force was removed right after the vibration phase.

2.3 Testing and characterization

After welding, the samples were tested for lap shear strength (LSS) with a Zwick/Roell 250 kN universal testing machine, according to ASTM D 1002 standard, at a crosshead speed of 1.3 mm/min (at least three samples per ED thickness). Fracture surfaces were analyzed with naked eye, a high-resolution Keyence stereomicroscope and a JEOL JSM-7500F scanning election microscope (SEM). For cross-sectional microscopy, samples were cut with a water-cooled diamond saw, embedded in acrylic resin, then sanded and polished.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Power and displacement curves

Figure 3 shows representative power and displacement curves for samples welded with all three ED thicknesses (two samples shown per configuration): 0.50 mm (a), 0.25 mm (b) and 0.06 mm (c). The specimens were welded until the displacement of the sonotrode reached a value equal to the thickness of the ED (100% displacement). A general examination of the power curves quickly reveals that they differ when significantly decreasing the thickness of the energy director. The behaviour of the curves for 0.25 mm-thick EDs for CF/PEI was previously discussed in detail in [1]. Figure 3 (a) and (b) confirm that, for 0.50 mm and 0.25 mm-thick EDs, the curves clearly follow the typical stages of the ultrasonic welding process. Once the ED starts to flow, the downward displacement of the sonotrode increases. This corresponds to a raise in power due to the increased impedance of the molten ED. This power increase is counterbalanced by a power decrease associated to local melting of the adherends at the welding interface, which results in a second power peak and a subsequent power drop. This demonstrates that for specimens welded with 0.50 mm and 0.25 mm-thick EDs, the energy director melts and flows out of the interface before the substrates start melting.

However, for 0.06 mm-thick EDs (Figure 3 (c)), this is not the case. After the initial peak, the power curves are almost horizontal, which might indicate that the ED and the adherends melt at the same time, creating a counter-balancing effect in the dissipated power.

3.2 Heat-affected zone: comparison between 0.06 mm and 0.25 mm energy directors

In order to confirm a potential early melting of the adherends for 0.06 mm-thick EDs, a detailed experimental study was performed to assess the extent of the HAZ. To do so, samples were welded at different stages of the process, but pressure was removed right after the vibration phase. Deconsolidation was expected to occur where resin reached a temperature above T_g and cross-sections were observed through optical microscopy to confirm the HAZ. Based on Figure 3 (c), different points were selected along the displacement curves. Vibration time was used to stop the process before any displacement of the sonotrode took place. After that point, displacement mode was employed. Table 1 lists the selected values for vibration time (400 ms and 450 ms) and displacement (0.02 mm and 0.04 mm). It was noted that the average vibration times for samples welded with a travel of 0.02 mm and 0.04 mm were equal to 514 ms and 598 ms, respectively.

Figure 4 shows representative power and displacement curves for a sample welded with 0.06 mm-thick ED, as well as the corresponding cross-sectional micrographs at the time and displacement values listed in Table 1, referred to as points A to D (starting at 400 ms). Figure 4, point A, is a cross-sectional micrograph of a sample welded without consolidation after 400 ms. The composite substrate appears to have undergone no significant changes as there is no delamination or voids present between the layers. At this stage, the displacement curve indicates that squeeze flow of the energy director has not started yet. This is confirmed through Figure 5, a picture of the fracture surface of a sample welded and consolidated at the same vibration time, 400 ms. The fracture is resin-rich and the white arrow indicates the presence of an intact

piece of ED. While the majority of the ED is melted, it has not been pushed out of the interface.

Figure 4, point B, is a cross-sectional micrograph of a specimen not consolidated after a vibration time of 450 ms. At this stage, the heat-affected zone extends to the adherends, as evidenced by delamination within the first ply. This indicates local melting of the matrix due to heat generated at the interface. The displacement curve reveals that squeeze flow of the ED starts around 450 ms. When the process is stopped after a displacement of 0.02 mm (Figure 4, point C), important delamination and porosity are seen underneath the first layer of the composite. Furthermore, after a displacement of 0.04 mm (Figure 4, point D), severe delamination within the second ply of the substrate occurs.

A parallel can be drawn with similar results presented in our previous work for CF/PEI specimens welded with 0.25 mm energy directors without consolidation at different stages of the USW process [16]. As explained in Section 2.2 of this paper, the best weld quality is obtained at the second power peak, at the moment when the resin in the first layer of the composite starts to melt. It was shown that in this stage, delamination within the first ply, similarly to Figure 4, point B, was found. This cross-sectional image is reproduced in Figure 6 for 0.25 mm-thick ED, with the corresponding point B'. The displacement curve shows that this occurred at a displacement value of approximately 0.13 mm, well beyond the onset of the squeeze flow of the ED. The importance of the delamination in Figure 4, for points C and D, can be correlated to what was reported for welds within the last stage of the USW process, as shown in Figure 6, point C', leading to significant fibre bundles deformation and lower lap shear strength [1, 16]. Point C' corresponds to a displacement value of 0.18 mm, indicating further squeeze out of the energy director, along with the composite matrix.

3.3 Mechanical performance and fracture surfaces

To adequately assess the mechanical performance of welded samples with different ED thicknesses, it was first necessary to determine the optimum welding parameters. Based on the power and displacement curves for 0.25 and 0.50 mm-thick EDs and the procedure described in Section 2.2, displacement values of 0.16 mm and 0.36 mm, respectively, were selected for best weld quality, as shown in Figure 7. For 0.06 mm energy directors, power and displacement curves (Figure 3 (c)) demonstrated that there is no clear optimum due to the absence of a second power peak. The cross-sectional micrographs presented in the previous section support that the best weld quality would be located at approximately 450 ms, at the onset of the displacement of the sonotrode, which is the moment when local melting of the matrix in the first ply of the adherends was observed. Lap shear strength tests were carried out and a time of 475 ms was found to lead to the highest strength. It is important to specify that as displacement of the sonotrode did not consistently start before 475 ms, time-control had to be used to weld the specimens. Under the optimum welding conditions, average LSS for 0.06 mm, 0.25 mm and 0.50 mm-thick EDs was equal to 32.9 ± 2.2 MPa, 37.3 ± 0.9 MPa and $36.5 \pm$ 1.8 MPa, respectively.

In order to further investigate how the thickness of the ED affects heat generation and weld quality at the interface under optimum conditions, fracture surfaces of samples welded with 0.06 mm and 0.25 mm-thick EDs were analyzed through visual inspection, SEM and stereomicroscopy. Figure 8 (a) shows a picture of the fracture surface for an optimum weld with 0.06 mm ED. Broken fibre bundles are present and demonstrate that the adhesion developed at the interface by the diffusion of the polymer chains extended into the substrates. However, resin flakes, voids and a brown colour on the surface of the fibres are present. Figure 8 (b) shows a high-resolution stereomicroscope image of the circled area in Figure 8 (a). It contains voids and resin flakes exhibiting a darker polymer colour. In addition, Figure 8 (c) and Figure 8 (d) present SEM images at two different magnifications of the approximate same area. Resin flakes, as well as numerous voids, are clearly observed. These signs are believed to be closely linked to thermal degradation for PEI [22, 23].

The fracture surface of an optimum weld with 0.25 mm ED is illustrated in Figure 9 (a). It is similar to Figure 8 (a) with several broken fibre bundles, but the surface appears smoother and shinier. A closer look at the circled area with SEM in Figure 9 (b) demonstrates a clear contrast with Figure 8 (c). The presence of resin flakes, voids or other signs of degradation is inexistent. This provides insight into the high quality of the weld using 0.25 mm-thick EDs, in comparison to the lower quality of 0.06 mm-thick ED.

3.4 Discussion

Based on the results presented in Sections 3.1 to 3.3, the dissimilarities between thin (0.06 mm) and thick (0.25 mm and 0.50 mm) energy directors can be further discussed with respect to heat generation at the interface.

Energy directors heat up preferentially as a result of higher cyclic strains and concentrate heat generation at the interface through two mechanisms: interfacial and viscoelastic friction [5]. The former is responsible for the initial heat generation and is affected by the welding force as well as the relative displacement between adherends and energy director. It is dominant before the glass transition temperature, T_g . When the latter is reached, viscoelastic heating (\dot{Q}_V) becomes more important and contributes to bringing the energy director to its processing temperature [7]. Viscoelastic heat generation rate can be described by Eq. 1 [4]:

$$\dot{Q}_{V} = \frac{\omega \cdot \varepsilon_{0}^{2} \cdot E''}{2} \tag{1}$$

where ω is the vibration frequency, ε_0 is the cyclic strain and E'' is the loss modulus of the material. The comparison between 0.06 mm and 0.25 mm-thick EDs supports that for the former case, heat is transferred to and generated in the bulk adherends much earlier in the process, just when the onset of the displacement of the sonotrode occurs (Figure 4, point B). During the USW process, the cyclic strain, ε_0 , results from a combination of static (welding force) and dynamic (vibration amplitude) strains. As the static strain is several orders of magnitude lower than the dynamic strain, it can be assumed that only the latter will have a significant effect on heat generation [14]. For a constant amplitude of vibration, and considering an elastic analysis, the dynamic strain increases in both the adherends and the energy director when the thickness of the latter decreases [21]. According to Eq. 1, this translates into faster heating of the substrates from two sources: 1) heat generated and transferred at a higher rate from the ED, and 2) "bulk" viscoelastic heating, i.e. viscoelastic heating of the adherends themselves. As a consequence, heating and melting of the adherends occur earlier when thinner EDs are used. Moreover, hotter surroundings to the energy director can lead to overheating, as observed in Figure 8. This can explain how, despite using the optimum welding parameters for 0.06 mm-thick EDs, the average LSS is lower than for 0.25 mm and 0.50 mm energy directors. Likewise the resin flow outside of the overlap increased with the ED thickness, which could as well have a positive influence on the LSS by acting as a fillet and lowering the peak stresses at the edges of the overlap.

The vibration time to reach optimum quality with 0.06 mm-thick EDs was 475 ms, and hence somewhat lower than for 0.25 mm and 0.50 mm EDs, with average times of 565 ms and 605 ms, respectively. The fact that the optimum heating time increases with the thickness of the energy director can be understood if one takes into account that the cyclic strains in both the energy director and the adherends decrease when the thickness of the energy director increases [14], which will reduce both the surface friction and viscoelastic heating rates [21]. The differences among the ED thicknesses considered in this study might suggest bigger differences in the vibration times for optimal quality. However, the reader should notice that, apart from the cyclic deformation of the materials under the sonotrode, there are other phenomena, such as the hammering effect, which have a very significant impact in heat generation [21, 24] and might have been influenced by the changes in thickness of the EDs. Finally, even though vibration times were lower for the 0.06 mm-thick EDs, the optimum weld quality is achieved at the onset of the displacement, which implies that displacement-controlled welding is not applicable.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, a detailed experimental assessment of the influence of the thickness of flat energy directors on heat generation during USW of thermoplastic composites was presented. Three thicknesses were investigated: 0.50 mm, 0.25 mm and 0.06 mm. The combined results shown in this research, when comparing power and displacement curves, extension of heat-affected zone and fracture surfaces, led to the following main observations:

- Both 0.50 and 0.25 mm-thick EDs show a similar behaviour in their power and displacement curves, with a clear definition of the stages of the USW process.
 Overall, the curves for the thickest ED are slightly delayed, which is attributed to lower cyclic strains in the ED and hence, lower heating rates.
- When using thin EDs (0.06 mm), heating and melting of the energy director and adherends occurs simultaneously. When the energy director is thicker (0.25 mm and 0.50 mm), it distinctly heats up and melts first, before the substrates, during the process.
- For 0.06 mm-thick EDs, their optimum weld quality is reached approximately at the onset of the displacement of the sonotrode. Consequently, displacement-control, which has been demonstrated to lead to consistent quality welds, cannot be used. Instead, either vibration time or welding energy must be considered as the control parameter. Moreover, even under the optimum conditions, welds present signs of overheating and degradation, likely due to faster heat generation rate in both the ED and the substrates, and incidentally, higher temperatures surrounding the energy director. Finally, using significantly thinner energy directors does not proportionally reduce the welding time.

Despite the apparent disadvantages of 0.06 mm-thick energy directors, they nevertheless exhibit an acceptable average LSS, above 30 MPa. The motivation of this research was to investigate the effect of the thickness of EDs to appropriately up-scale and control the ultrasonic welding process. In the case where larger overlaps need to be welded, there is a possible issue with the use of displacement-controlled welding and limited flow of the ED at the interface. Further research into 0.06 mm-thick EDs may mitigate these problems as their optimum weld quality can be achieved at the onset of the flow of the ED, using time- or energy-control.

5 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge TenCate Advanced Composites (The Netherlands) for their support. Part of this work has been presented at the 20th International Conference on Composite Materials in Copenhagen, Denmark, 19-24 July 2015.

6 References

- 1. Villegas IF. Strength development versus process data in ultrasonic welding of thermoplastic composites with flat energy directors and its application to the definition of optimum processing parameters. Composites A. 2014;65:27-37.
- 2. Ageorges C, Ye L, Hou M. Advances in fusion bonding techniques for joining thermoplastic matrix composites: a review. Composites A. 2001;32:839-57.
- 3. Yousefpour A, Hojjati M, Immarigeon J-P. Fusion Bonding/Welding of Thermoplastic Composites. J Thermoplast Compos. 2004;17:303-41.
- 4. Tolunay MN, Dawson PR, Wang KK. Heating and bonding mechanisms in ultrasonic welding of thermoplastics. Polym Eng Sci. 1983;23:726-33.
- Grewel D, Benatar A. Plastics and Composites Welding Handbook. Munich: Hansen Gardner Publications; 2003.
- Palardy G, Agricola FM, Dransfeld C, Villegas IF. Up-Scaling of the Ultrasonic Welding Process for Joining Thermoplastic Composites. In: Society for the Advancement of Material and Process Engineering. Proceedings; 2015 May 18-21; Baltimore, USA.
- Zhang Z, Xiaodong W, Yi L, Zhenqiang Z, Liding W. Study on Heating Process of Ultrasonic Welding for Thermoplastics. J Thermoplast Compos. 2010;23:647-64.
- Chuah YK, Chien L-H, Chang BC, Liu S-J. Effects of the shape of the energy director on far-field ultrasonic welding of thermoplastics. Polym Eng Sci. 2000;40:157-67.

- Liu S-J, Lin W-F, Chang B-C, Wu G-M, Hung S-W. Optimizing the joint strength of ultrasonically welded thermoplastics. Adv Polym Technol. 1999;18:125-35.
- 10. Rani MR, Prakasan K, Rudramoorthy R. Study of different joints for ultrasonic welding of semicrystalline polymers. Exp Techniques. 2009;33:36-42.
- Wang X, Yan J, Li R, Yang S. FEM Investigation of the Temperature Field of Energy Director During Ultrasonic Welding of PEEK Composites. J Thermoplast Compos. 2006;19:593-607.
- Yan J, Wang X, Li R, Xu H, Yang S. The Effects of Energy Director Shape on Temperature Field During Ultrasonic Welding of Thermoplastic Composites. Key Eng Mat. 2007;353-358:4.
- Levy A, Le Corre S, Poitou A. Ultrasonic welding of thermoplastic composites: a numerical analysis at the mesoscopic scale relating processing parameters, flow of polymer and quality of adhesion. Int J Mater Form. 2014;7:39-51.
- Tateishi N, North H, Woodhams RT. Ultrasonic Welding Using Tie-Layer Materials. Part I: Analysis of Process Operation. Polym Eng Sci. 1992;32.
- Ramarathnam G, North TH, Woodhams RT. Ultrasonic Welding Using Tie-Layer Materials. Part II: Factors Affecting the Lap-Shear Strength of Ultrasonic Welds. Polym Eng Sci. 1992;32.
- Villegas IF. In situ monitoring of ultrasonic welding of thermoplastic composites through power and displacement data. J Thermoplast Compos. 2015;28:66-85.
- Villegas IF, Bersee HEN. Ultrasonic welding of advanced thermoplastic composites: An investigation on energy-directing surfaces. Adv Polym Technol. 2010;29:112-21.
- Villegas IF, Valle Grande B, Bersee HEN, Benedictus R. A comparative evaluation between flat and traditional energy directors for ultrasonic welding of CF/PPS thermoplastic composites. Compos Interface. 2015;22:717-29.
- Liu S-J, Chang I-T. Optimizing the Weld Strength of Ultrasonically Welded Nylon Composites. J Compos Mater. 2002;36:611-24.
- Liu S-J, Chang IT, Hung S-W. Factors affecting the joint strength of ultrasonically welded polypropylene composites. Polym Composite. 2001;22:132-41.

- Levy A, Le Corre S, Fernandez Villegas I. Modeling of the heating phenomena in ultrasonic welding of thermoplastic composites with flat energy directors. J Mater Process Tech. 2014;214:1361-71.
- 22. Shi H, Villegas IF, Bersee HEN. Strength and failure modes in resistance welded thermoplastic composite joints: Effect of fibre-matrix adhesion and fibre orientation. Composites A. 2013;55:1-10.
- Augh L, Gillespie Jr JW, Fink BK. Degradation of continuous carbon fiber reinforced polyetherimide composites during induction heating. J Thermoplast Compos. 2001;14:96-115.
- Nonhof CJ, Luiten GA. Estimates for process conditions during the ultrasonic welding of thermoplastics. Polym Eng Sci. 1996;36:1177-83.

Table 1: Vibration time and displacement values selected for investigation of the extent of the HAZ at different stages of the USW process, with 0.06 mm-thick EDs. Corresponding points in Figure 4 are indicated in the bottom row.

Vibration time [ms]		Displacement (corresponding vibration time) [mm]	
400	450	0.02 (514 ± 19 ms)	0.04 (598 ± 21 ms)
Point A	Point B	Point C	Point D



Figure 1: Ultrasonic welding process with flat energy director (dimensions are not to scale).



Figure 2: Welding setup used to carry out experiments. 1: Sonotrode, 2: Clamp for the lower sample, 3: Clamp for the upper sample, and 4: Sliding platform.





Figure 3: Representative power and displacement curves for CF/PEI samples welded with (a) 0.50 mm EDs, (b) 0.25 mm EDs and (c) 0.06 mm EDs at 100% displacement. Two samples are shown for each ED thickness and two data sets are reported. 100% displacement is equal to the thickness of the ED. The welding parameters were 500 N force and 86.2 μ m vibration amplitude.



Figure 4: Representative power and displacement curves for 0.06 mm-thick ED with corresponding cross-sectional micrographs of welds not consolidated at different stages of the USW process. Point A: 400 ms, point B: 450 ms, point C: 0.02 mm displacement and point D: 0.04 mm displacement. Arrows point at deconsolidation voids. The welding parameters were 500 N force and 86.2 μ m vibration amplitude.



Figure 5: Fracture surface of a CF/PEI sample fully welded after a vibration time of 400 ms (500 N welding force and 86.2 μ m amplitude).



Figure 6: Representative power and displacement curves for 0.25 mm-thick ED with corresponding cross-sectional micrographs of welds not consolidated at different stages of the USW process. Point B': 550 ms (corresponding to point B in Figure 4) and point C': 650 ms (corresponding to points C and D in Figure 4). Arrows point at

deconsolidation voids. The welding parameters were 500 N force and 86.2 μ m vibration amplitude. (Modified from [16].)



Figure 7: Power and displacement curves for CF/PEI samples welded with 0.25 mm and 0.50 mm-thick EDs. The vertical dashed lines show the position of the optimum travel value. Power curves were shifted on the vertical axis for clarity. The welding parameters were 500 N force and 86.2 μ m vibration amplitude.







(b)





Figure 8: (a) Representative fracture surface of a CF/PEI sample welded at an optimum vibration time of 475 ms with 0.06 mm ED. (b) Stereomicroscope image of the circled area in (a) with resin flakes, indicating PEI degradation (Scale: $40 \mu m$). (c) and (d) SEM

images of the circled area in (a) showing voids and resin flakes (Scale: 100 μ m). The welding parameters were 500 N force and 86.2 μ m vibration amplitude.



(a)



Figure 9: (a) Representative fracture surface of a CF/PEI sample welded at an optimum displacement value of 0.16 mm with 0.25 mm-thick ED, and (b) corresponding SEM

image circled in (a) (Scale: 100 μm). The welding parameters were 500 N force and 86.2 μm vibration amplitude.